1	RAHUL RAVIPUDI, ESQ., NV Bar No. 14750	
2	ravipudi@psblaw.com IAN SAMSON, ESQ., NV Bar No. 15089	
3	samson@psblaw.com ADAM ELLIS, ESQ., NV Bar No. 14514	
4	ellis@psblaw.com	
5	PANISH SHEA BOYLE RAVIPUDI LI 8816 Spanish Ridge Ave.	ΔP
6	Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Tel: (702) 560-5520	
7	Fax: (702) 975-2515	
8	KHALDOUN A. BAGHDADI, ESQ., CA Bar No. 190111 kbaghdadi@walkuplawoffice.com	
9	VALERIE ROSE, ESQ., CA Bar No. 272566	
10	walkuplawoffice.com WALKUP, MELODIA, KELLY & SCHOENBERGER	
11	650 California Street, 26 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108-2615	
12	Tel: 415.981-7210 Fax: 415-391-6965	
13	(To be Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	
14	Attorneys for PLAINTIFF	
15	D.S., a minor by and through his Guardian ad Litem CORTNEY LARSON	
16		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	DISTRICT OF	
19	D.S., a minor by and through his Guardian Ad Litem CORTNEY LARSON,	
20	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT [JURY DEMAND]
21	v.	
22	CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT,	
23	MELISSA OLSZEWSKI, SHAWN HALLAND and DOES 1-50,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28		

COMPLAINT Case No.

<u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Plaintiff D.S., a minor by and through his Guardian Ad Litem CORTNEY LARSON (hereinafter "Plaintiff") alleges as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff D.S. is a minor and a resident of Satellite Beach, Florida.
- 2. Cortney Larson ("LARSON") is the mother of Plaintiff D.S. and a resident of Satellite Beach, Florida. She brings this action on behalf of her minor son D.S., as his guardian ad litem.
- 3. Defendant CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT ("CCSD") is a public entity duly incorporated and operating under Nevada law as a public school district.
- 4. Defendant MELISSA OLSZEWSKI ("OLSZEWSKI") was at all times relevant herein, employed by Defendant CCSD as a special education teacher at Sandra Thompson Elementary School ("STES") in Las Vegas, Nevada. All actions by Defendant OLSZEWSKI alleged herein were taken under color of state law and in the course and scope of her employment with Defendant CCSD.
- 5. Defendant SHAWN HALLAND ("HALLAND") was at all times relevant herein, employed by Defendant CCSD as Principal of STES. All actions by Defendant HALLAND alleged herein were taken under color of state law and in the course and scope of his employment with Defendant CCSD.
- 6. On information and belief, HALLAND and other CCSD administrators and employees were responsible for the training and supervision of school district staff at STES and for ensuring compliance with state and federal laws.

JURISDICTION, VENUE, AND INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

7. This court has original jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims for relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3). This court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §

1367(a).

- 8. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in Clark County, Nevada.
- 9. This case arose in Clark County, Nevada, and, pursuant to Rule 1-6 of the Local Rules of the District of Nevada should be assigned to the Southern Division of the District of Nevada.

MINOR D.S.'s SPECIAL NEEDS and CLASSROOM ASSIGNMENT

- 10. At all times relevant to the allegations herein, D.S. was a special education student at STES and entrusted to the care of Defendants.
- 11. D.S. was assigned to the special education classroom of OLSZEWSKI beginning on or about August 2019.
- 12. D.S. was born in 2016 and was 3 years old at the time he was assigned to OLSZEWSKI's "Autism Pre-K" special education classroom at STES in August, 2019.
- 13. At the time he attended CCSD and was assigned to OLSZEWSKI's classroom, D.S. had been diagnosed with Developmental Delay. D.S. has also since been diagnosed with Autism Spectrum Disorder.

GENERAL FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 14. For the 2019-20 school year, OLSZEWSKI was assigned by CCSD to oversee a pre-kindergarten self-contained autism classroom at STES.
- 15. Multiple special education aides who worked in OLSZEWSKI's classroom have reported regularly observing OLSZEWSKI act aggressively with D.S. and his disabled classmates including spanking, flicking, pinching, "popping," pulling, yanking, screaming at, striking students in the head with objects and acting out of anger in response to their disabilities. On information and belief, aides in OLSZEWSKI's classroom reported her abuse of D.S. and his classmates to

HALLAND and other responsible administrators and employees of CCSD who failed to document or investigate the reported incidents, failed to intervene to stop the OLSZEWSKI's abuse of disabled students including D.S., failed to discipline or retrain OLSZEWSKI to prevent future violations, and concealed known incidents of abuse from victims' parents, including LARSON.

- 16. On or about February, 2020, LARSON was notified by telephone that an "incident" had occurred involving D.S. and that OLSZEWSKI had "lost her cool" and grabbed D.S. by his arm pulling him off the ground and striking his body multiple times in front of eyewitnesses.
- 17. During CCSD Police Department's (CCSDPD) criminal investigation, classroom aides confirmed that OLSZEWSKI repeatedly engaged in misconduct with D.S. and other disabled students in the class on a "regular basis" which included but was not limited to: flicking fingers, slapping, pulling, aggressively jerking students by their hands and arms, physically forcing students bodies down into chairs while screaming in their face "sit down!"; slapping and "popping" students on their arms and hands, spanking, yanking, and striking students in the head with paper, notebooks, and other items.
- 18. During D.S.'s time in OLSZEWSKI classroom, OLSZEWSKI routinely subjected D.S. and other disabled students to physical, emotional and verbal abuses. The mistreatment and abuse of D.S. by OLSZEWSKI was based upon and in response to D.S.' disabilities.
- 19. On October 26, 2020, the State of Nevada filed criminal charge against OLSZEWSKI, charging her with six counts of felony child abuse, neglect or endangerment. In addition to the felony charges brought against OLZEWSKI for her abuse of Plaintiff D.S., she was also charged with abusing D.S.'s classmates, T.A., J.D., S.T., J.L. and C.T, all of whom were between 3 and 4 years old at the time of the abuse.

- 20. On information and belief, prior to the eyewitness reports in February, 2020, Defendant HALLAND and other STES administrators received reports of OLSZEWSKI's ongoing mistreatment of disabled students, but failed to document and investigate known instances of corporal punishment and aversive interventions as required, failed to complete mandatory CCF-624 forms, failed to comply with their statutory obligation to notify the Nevada Department of Education ("DOE") of violations of students rights and establish a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) to retrain OLSZEWSKI so that future violations would not occur and failed to discipline OLSZEWSKI to deter her from committing further abuses against students including D.S..
- 21. On information and belief, Defendant HALLAND and other STES administrators and employees knew D.S. and other non-verbal disabled students in OLSZEWSKI's class were being abused but concealed this information from LARSON and other parents and from the DOE.
- 22. In addition to suffering direct abuse by OLSZEWSKI, students including D.S. were also forced to witness the physical and emotional abuse of their classmates.
- 23. The use of aversive interventions is expressly prohibited by state law, which provides that "[a] person employed by the board of trustees of a school district or any other person shall not use any aversive intervention on a pupil with a disability." NRS 388.497. The term "aversive intervention" is defined broadly and includes the use of corporal punishment as well as verbal and mental abuse where those actions are used to punish or to eliminate, reduce or discourage maladaptive behavior of a student with a disability. NRS 388.473. Aversive interventions, which include physical or mechanical restraints on students with disabilities, are also proscribed under District regulations. CCSD Regulation 5141.3.
 - 24. State law mandates that school districts must provide training to staff

regarding the use of physical and mechanical restraints to ensure the safety of pupils in their care. NRS 388.505. Any person who intentionally uses aversive intervention on a pupil with a disability is subject to disciplinary action. NRS 388.506.

- 25. Any incident involving an "aversive intervention" must be reported to the board of trustees of the school district not later than 24 hours after the incident occurred, or as soon thereafter as it is discovered. NRS 388.508. The board of trustees and school superintendent must then develop a Corrective Action Plan to retrain involved staff "to ensure that within 30 calendar days appropriate action is taken by the school and the board of trustees to prevent future violations." Further, any "aversive action" taken against a student with a disability must be entered into the student's cumulative record. NRS 388.513.
- 26. Pursuant to CCSD Regulation 5141.3 and NRS 388.501, if a physical restraint or aversive intervention has been used on a student, it must be reported in the pupil's file no later than one working day after the fact. A report (form CCF-624) must also be sent to the student's Individualized Education Program ("IEP") Team, the student's parent or guardian, and the Board of School Trustees/designee of the school district. Pursuant to NRS 388.508 and 388.5295, in order to prevent future violations by staff, the school district must report the details of each violation of a student's rights to the Department of Education (DOE) and develop and submit a Corrective Action Plan ("CAP") to the DOE within 30 calendar days of the violation.
- 27. On information and belief, Defendants HALLAND and CCSD repeatedly failed to comply with state laws and district policies regarding the prompt documentation of the use and nature of aversive interventions. Further, on information and belief, Defendants HALLAND and CCSD repeatedly failed to timely report the use of aversive interventions and corporal punishment by OLSZEWSKI to the parents of D.S. in violation of NRS 388.501.

- 28. On information and belief, HALLAND and other CCSD administrators and employees were aware of OLSZEWSKI's physical and emotional abuse of disabled students at STES, including D.S., but failed to take action to intervene to protect the disabled students in their care and/or to report the abuse to law enforcement, DOE, or to the students' parents.
- 29. On information and belief, HALLAND and other CCSD administrators and employees knew that OLSZEWSKI's use of prohibited aversive interventions and corporal punishment with disabled students including D.S. violated their rights, but intentionally concealed known violations from the DOE, failed to timely notify the DOE, failed to establish a CAP to re-train or discipline OLSZEWSKI to ensure future violations would not reoccur and concealed violations from the parents of non-verbal student victims.
- 30. On information and belief, OLSZEWSKI's abuse of D.S. and other disabled students at STES was open and obvious and by virtue of the nature of the misconduct was known, or reasonably should have been known, to HALLAND and other CCSD administrators and employees responsible for supervision. On information and belief, despite their knowledge that OLSZEWSKI was abusing D.S. and other disabled students, Defendants concealed the abuse from parents and the DOE and failed to take measures to prevent OLSZEWSKI from continuing to abuse functionally non-verbal disabled students.

EFFECTS OF THE ABUSE ON THE MINOR PLAINTIFF Effect of the Abuse on Minor Plaintiff D.S.

- 31. On information and belief, D.S. routinely experienced physical and emotional abuse by OLSZEWSKI which exacerbated and escalated behaviors that manifested from D.S.'s disabilities.
- 32. During the time that D.S. was in OLSZEWSKI's classroom, D.S. regressed in physical skills such as toileting and began to exhibit new behaviors

which were uncharacteristic of him prior to his assignment to the classroom. Such new behaviors include but are not limited to, increased aggression towards family members, sleep disruption, refusal to go to the bathroom on his own, loss of toileting skills, an inability to sleep alone and severe separation anxiety.

- 33. D.S.'s parents became concerned about the changes in their son's behavior but, because of his disabilities, D.S. was unable to communicate the full extent of the cause of his distress to his parents at the time of the abuse.
- 34. Because of his disabilities, D.S. is unable to report all that occurred to him while he was in the care of Defendants and the full extent and duration of all of the abuse suffered by D.S. is currently unknown.
- 35. Following the abuse of D.S. by OLSZEWSKI, the family removed D.S. from CCSD and moved out of state.
- 36. Since leaving OLSZEWSKI's classroom at STES, D.S. continues to experience extreme anxiety, stress and fear as a result of the misconduct of the Defendants and by their failures to act.
- 37. The severe abuse of D.S. as herein alleged has and will continue to cause permanent psychological harm.
- 38. On information and belief, D.S. will require psychological treatment to address the traumas he has experienced.
- 39. As a proximate result of the actions of OLSZEWSKI, D.S. has suffered unjustifiable physical pain and mental suffering.
- 40. At the relevant times hereto, D.S.'s behavior did not rise to the level of an emergency or a serious or imminent threat of harm to himself or others which would permit using emergency physical force against him or restraining his freedom of movement.
- 41. LARSON does <u>not</u> seek any services or remedies available under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act ("IDEA") for D.S.

CCSD's RESPONSE TO REPORTS OF OLSZEWSKI'S ABUSE OF DISABLED STUDENTS

- 42. On information and belief, CCSD has the *de facto* policy and practice of concealing, failing to document or report and intentionally under-reporting incidents in which CCSD employees violate the rights of special education students. Such incidents are often concealed from the DOE, the parents of student victims, and the District Attorney ("DA"). On information and belief, this district-wide policy and practice is longstanding, ongoing, and amounts to ratification of both the perpetrators' abuse and the efforts by administrators to conceal the severity and frequency of the abuse from the DOE, parents and the DA. On information and belief, CCSD does not investigate or discipline administrators involved in concealing, failing to report and under-reporting incidents in which CCSD employees violate the rights of disabled students. The effect of this policy and practice, and the ratification of misconduct giving rise to violations of disabled students' rights, perpetuates, condones and allows further violations of disabled students' rights to continue without remedial measures to decrease or prevent future violations.
- 43. On information and belief, after CCSD was on notice of OLSZEWSKI's use of prohibited aversive interventions and corporal punishment and violations of disabled students' rights, CCSD failed to document the reported incidents on CCF-624 forms as required, failed to notify the parents of victim students, failed to make the required violation of rights determinations, failed to discipline or retrain OLSZEWSKI, failed to notify the DOE as required and failed to discipline STES administrators including HALLAND for their mishandling of the reported incidents.
- 44. On information and belief, HALLAND and other District administrators and employees received reports of OLSZEWSKI's emotional and

physical abuse and use of aversive interventions and corporal punishment with D.S. and other disabled students and knew that the rights of disabled students including D.S. had been violated, but intentionally concealed the violations from the DOE, failed to establish a Corrective Action Plan to re-train OLSZEWSKI and failed to discipline OLSZEWSKI and/or HALAND to ensure future violations would not occur.

Allegations Specific to CCSD Policies and Practices

45. On information and belief, CCSD had multiple "written" policies, regulations, rules, and practices which contributed to the incidents giving rise to the constitutional violations in this case. Multiple persistent and widespread customs and practices of CCSD personnel also contributed. Some of the entrenched customs and practices of CCSD at issue consist of employees' persistent failure to follow written policies, regulations, rules, or laws, as well as employees who go through the motions of complying with the letter of written policies, regulations, rules, or laws while violating the substantive intent of the relevant directives. These customs and practices were so widespread as to be the functional equivalent of CCSD policy.

CCSD's Practices Ratify Unconstitutional Use of Force Against Disabled Students

46. On information and belief, CCSD fosters a culture of concealment of abuse of disabled students through the practices of each division of CCSD with a responsibility to document, report, investigate, retrain, and discipline employees who physically and emotionally abuse disabled students. The top down concealment of abuse from the DOE and victims' parents is ratified by CCSD through their failure to investigate, retrain or discipline employees and administrators who are known to the District to have concealed abuse and violations of disabled students' rights. On information and belief, the failure to

investigate, retrain or discipline administrators and employees who conceal abuse of special needs students creates an environment that leads to the use of unconstitutional force and seizure by CCSD employees against special needs students, including D.S. and others.

- 47. CCSD's Office of Compliance and Management ("OCM") acts as the Superintendent's designee to comply with the statutory requirement to report all incidents where employee misconduct violates the rights of a special needs student to the DOE and to establish a Corrective Action Plan ("CAP") to retrain the offending employee(s) so future violations do not occur.
- 48. On information and belief, practices within OCM foster a culture of deliberate indifference to the abuse of disabled students and lead directly to the constitutional violations complained of in Plaintiffs' complaint. These practices amount to ratification of the abuser's misconduct and of the administrators' concealment of the misconduct from parents and the state DOE, allowing CCSD's de facto policies to flourish.
- 49. On information and belief, OLSZEWSKI's use of aversive interventions and corporal punishment with D.S. and his classmates violated his rights and OCM was required to document, report and investigate each of the incidents fully and completely but they failed to do so.
- 50. On information and belief, OCM's acquiescence in the pattern of unconstitutional misconduct, including its failure to investigate credible reports of violations of disabled students' rights and their failure to comply with the District's statutory obligation to report all violations and retrain offending employees to prevent future violations, constitutes ratification of the violations of the rights of D.S..
- 51. On information and belief, OLSZEWSKI was acting in accordance with the policy of permitting, allowing and, in effect, condoning and encouraging the

unconstitutional use of force on disabled students at the time she abused D.S. and other students. The failure of OCM to establish a CAP to retrain OLSZEWSKI to prevent further abuse of students ratified the misconduct and allowed additional abuse to occur.

- 52. On information and belief, HALLAND and OCM employees were each acting pursuant to a district wide policy and practice that ratified concealment of unconstitutional use of force by District staff on disabled students. On information and belief, this policy was the moving force behind the unconstitutional violations of D.S. by OLSZEWSKI.
- 53. CCSD's Employee Management Relations Department ("EMR") is the division of CCSD responsible to receive, track and respond to reports of employee misconduct and to determine appropriate discipline. On information and belief, CCSD has no policy requiring administrators who learn that a district employee has physically mistreated a disabled student to report the incident to EMR.
- 54. On information and belief, CCSD has no policy that requires EMR to report to OCM incidents it learns of where a CCSD employee has used corporal punishment, employed a prohibited aversive intervention, or otherwise physically mistreated a disabled student.
- 55. On information and belief, the failure of CCSD to have policies requiring all incidents of physical abuse of disabled students by employees to be reported to both EMR and OCM creates a practice where known abuse of disabled students by staff is under-reported, known violations of disabled students' rights are concealed from the DOE and offending employees are not retrained or disciplined, all of which leads to continued abuse and violations.
- 56. On information and belief, CCSDPD has a long-standing practice when investigating reports of abuse/neglect or battery of a disabled student by a CCSD employee to conclude that no crime has been committed without evidence of

"malicious intent to hurt" the victim. Under Nevada criminal statutes, neither the crime of battery nor abuse/neglect require the elements of malice or "intent to hurt" for the crimes to have been committed. (NRS 200.481 and 200.508).

- 57. On information and belief, CCSDPD has a custom and practice of failing to respond to, adequately investigate or refer cases of battery of special needs students by staff to the District Attorney for prosecution.
- 58. On information and belief, such CCSDPD practices result in under reporting of incidents where CCSD employees commit abuse/neglect or battery against special needs students.

CCSD Policies Prevent Accurate Record Keeping and Appropriate District Response

- 59. On information and belief, CCSD does not track all reports of physical and emotional abuse of special education students by CCSD staff and has no centralized location where such information is maintained or shared among the District's divisions. This practice prevents analysis of the causes of such misconduct, prevents patterns of abuse from being identified, prevents effective discipline of employees that have been reported to have physically abused disabled students and increases the likelihood that perpetrators will continue to abuse and victimize vulnerable disabled students in the future.
- 60. CCSD Policy 1213 and Regulation 1213.1 require that complaints be submitted, investigated, and resolved at the lowest levels in the "chain of command," and state that any concerns received by board members or the District's superintendent will not be considered, but instead will be passed on to appropriate person lower in the command structure for handling. These policies, and the manner in which they are implemented, create a decentralized system of obtaining, investigating, and resolving complaints. As a result, the individuals at the "top" of the system prevent themselves from hearing complaints, or knowing whether they

were properly dealt with, thereby allowing themselves to have "plausible deniability" as to the breadth of problems within the system. Furthermore, by insisting that complaints of abuse by teachers be handled by the principal of the school, CCSD creates a clear conflict of interest, in which the person investigating and responding to complaints has strong motivation to minimize or conceal misconduct by teachers under their supervision, in order to make their own job easier, by not losing staff to suspension or termination, and out of concern that the situation might reflect negatively upon themselves, resulting in poor performance evaluations and financial consequences.

CCSD Regulations Prohibit Anonymous Reporting

61. CCSD Regulation R-5152 prohibits employees of CCSD from making reports to authorities regarding suspected child abuse without also informing school administrators. On information and belief, policies such as these have a chilling effect on the reporting of abusive conduct committed by employees because by making a report, an employee places himself or herself at risk of retaliation and peer backlash. The Nevada State Legislature itself recognized the importance of the availability of the option of reporting anonymously, in its passage of the Safe and Respectful Learning Environment legislation, when it stated: "The ability to anonymously report information about dangerous, violent or unlawful activities, or the threat of such activities [...] is critical in preventing, responding to and recovering from such activities." NRS 388.1454.

CCSD's Practices Discourage Reporting of Abuse by Employees

62. On information and belief, CCSD supervisory personnel have a permanent and well-settled custom and practice of discouraging employees from reporting abuse of students by fellow employees. On information and belief, this custom and practice is enforced by displaying antagonism toward employees when they do report; by failing to act on the reports, thereby creating a sense of

///

7

10

13 14

16 17

15

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

hopelessness, helplessness and demoralization in the reporting employees; and by tolerating the peer approbation displayed against employees who do report. ///

CCSD Policies Threaten Legal Consequences for Employees Who Disclose Abuse to Parents or Media

63. CCSD Policy 1213 and CCSD Regulation 1213.1 require that any disciplinary action regarding an employee, and any concerns or complaints made about an employee be kept confidential. They also warn employees of the possibility that they could expose themselves to litigation by speaking about concerns. No parallel warning regarding the potential legal consequences of silence or concealment are included. On information and belief, these policies, and the manner in which they are implemented, discourage employees from reporting abusive conduct of fellow employees against students, and explicitly make it the policy of CCSD to conceal from parents of students those instances when their children's teachers have engaged in abusive conduct toward students, even when that conduct has been confirmed. In its communications with the public and with individual parents, it is the policy of CCSD to venerate the privacy of abusive and allegedly abusive employees above all other concerns, including its obligations for the safety of its students, and its obligations as a public agency to provide information regarding its function to members of the public and elected officials so that proper oversight can be exercised.

CCSD's Practices Fail to Ensure Proper Training

64. On information and belief, CCSD has a wide-spread, permanent and well-settled custom and practice of failing to ensure that special education teachers and their classroom assistants are properly qualified and trained to respond appropriately to the behaviors of students with disabilities. On information and

belief, current and former employees of CCSD received little to no training regarding the appropriate handling of behavioral issues related to disabilities.

- 65. On information and belief, CCSD has a wide-spread, permanent and well-settled custom and practice of failing to report to the DOE known incidents of physical and emotional abuse that have violated the rights of disabled students and failing to establish required CAPs to retrain offending employees so that future violations do not reoccur.
- 66. On information and belief, there is a long history of CCSD teachers and other employees abusing disabled students who are unable to communicate what is happening to them, but CCSD has failed to take steps to address the problem, to improve the means to prevent abuse or to take steps to ensure that CCSD employees who engage such abuse are retrained, disciplined and/or removed from the District to ensure students' safety.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violation of Constitutional Rights, 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Plaintiff vs. OLSZEWSKI, HALLAND and CCSD

- 67. Plaintiff refers to, and incorporates by reference, all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 68. Plaintiff had a constitutional right under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution to be free from unreasonable seizures and to be secure in his person and to maintain his bodily integrity against unreasonable assaults on his person.
- 69. On information and belief, OLSZEWSKI violated the rights of Plaintiff under the Fourth Amendment and Fourteenth Amendment by using unjustified and unreasonable force against him and/or by failing to prevent it.
- 70. On information and belief, OLSZEWSKI's conduct was objectively unreasonable under the circumstances and in light of the educational objectives

Plaintiff was trying to achieve.

- 71. On information and belief, OLSZEWSKI's conduct in physically seizing Plaintiff unlawfully subjected him to excessive, unreasonable, and unnecessary physical force.
- 72. On information and belief, HALLAND violated the rights of Plaintiff under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the U.S. Constitution by actions, including but not limited to, acting with deliberate indifference to the risk of harm to Plaintiff from OLSZEWSKI.
- 73. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges Defendant HALLAND personally participated in the deprivation of his constitutional rights by failing to act in response to allegations of serious child abuse, and through his deliberate indifference to the fact that abuse was occurring.
- 74. On information and belief, HALLAND personally participated in the deprivation of constitutional rights of Plaintiff by failing to act in response to reports he received of ongoing abuse of disabled students by OLSZEWSKI.
- 75. On information and belief, the actions of OLSZEWSKI, HALLAND and other CCSD administrators and employees, as described herein, were objectively unreasonable, willful and wanton, in light of the facts and circumstances.
- 76. On information and belief, CCSD violated the rights of Plaintiff under the Fourth Amendment by its failure to maintain adequate policies or conduct adequate training to prevent violations of the constitutional rights of disabled students. On information and belief, CCSD had multiple "written" policies, regulations, rules, and practices which contributed to the occurrence of the incidents which gave rise to the constitutional violations in this case. Multiple persistent and widespread customs and practices of CCSD gave rise to the constitutional violations alleged herein. Violations such as the ones inflicted on Plaintiff were an obvious risk of the procedures adopted by CCSD and its

policymakers. CCSD's acts and omissions constitute deliberate indifference.

- 77. On information and belief, CCSD also violated the rights of Plaintiff under the Fourth Amendment when it displayed deliberate indifference to the demonstrated propensity of OLSZEWSKI to violate the constitutional rights of citizens in the manner that Plaintiff's rights were violated.
- 78. On information and belief, as a proximate result of the violations alleged hereinabove, Plaintiff has suffered damages, including special and general damages, according to proof.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF Discrimination in Violation of the Americans With Disabilities Act, 42 USC § 12101 Plaintiff v. CCSD

- 79. Plaintiff refers to, and incorporate by reference, all of the preceding paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 80. Effective January 26, 1992, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 entitled D.S. to the protections of the "Public Services" provision. Title II, Subpart A prohibits discrimination by any "public entity," including any state or local government, as defined by 42 USC § 12131, section 201 of the ADA.
- 81. Pursuant to 42 USC §12132, Section 202 of Title II, no qualified individual with a disability shall, by reason of such disability, be excluded from participation in or be denied the benefits of the services, programs or activities of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination by any such entity. Plaintiffs were at all times relevant herein qualified individuals with a disability as therein defined.
- 82. On information and belief, by subjecting Plaintiff to ongoing physical, verbal and psychological abuse, Plaintiff was denied the benefits of the services, programs, or activities of a public entity on the basis of their disability. Non-disabled students were not subjected to similar acts of abuse.

- 83. On information and belief, CCSD has failed in its responsibilities under Title II to provide its services, programs and activities in a full and equal manner to disabled persons as described hereinabove, including failing to ensure that educational services are provided on an equal basis to children with disabilities and free of hostility toward their disability.
- 84. On information and belief, CCSD has further failed in its responsibilities under Title II to provide services, programs and activities in a full and equal manner to disabled persons as described hereinabove by subjecting Plaintiff to a hostile educational environment.
- 85. On information and belief, OLSZEWSKI engaged in physical, emotional, and verbal abuse as herein alleged, which escalated the severity and frequency of Plaintiff's behaviors, and said Defendants were deliberately indifferent to the risk that their actions would deprive Plaintiff of equal and meaningful access to education.
- 86. On information and belief, HALLAND and other District employees were deliberately indifferent to the abuse committed by OLSZEWSKI because the victims of their abuse, including Plaintiff, were persons with a disability. Furthermore, HALLAND was informed by others that disabled students, including Plaintiff, were being abused by OLSZEWSKI but, on information and belief, despite this knowledge HALLAND and other CCSD administrators and employees failed to stop the ongoing abuse, and in fact actively misled parents of students placed in OLSZEWSKI's class, including LARSON, as to the competency of OLSZEWSKI and as to Plaintiff's experiences in OLSZEWSKI's classroom.
- 87. On information and belief, the deliberate indifference by employees of CCSD gives rise to respondeat superior liability of CCSD.
- 88. As a direct and proximate result of CCSD's failure to comply with their duty under Title II, Plaintiff suffered damages as described herein.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF Violation of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 794 Plaintiff vs. CCSD

- 89. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges by reference all the foregoing paragraphs as if they were fully set forth herein.
- 90. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 794 ("Section 504"), and the regulations promulgated thereunder prohibit discrimination against persons with disabilities. Section 504 prohibits the exclusion from the participation in, or being denied the benefits of, or being subjected to discrimination under, any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.
- 91. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that CCSD is and has been at all relevant times the recipient of federal financial assistance, and that part of that financial assistance has been used to fund the operations, construction and/or maintenance of the specific public facilities described herein and the activities that take place therein.
- 92. On information and belief, by subjecting Plaintiff to ongoing physical, verbal and psychological abuse, Plaintiff was denied the benefit of his attendance at CCSD and subjected to discrimination. Non-disabled children were not subjected to similar acts of abuse.
- 93. On information and belief, by its actions or inactions in denying equal access to educational services and by subjecting Plaintiff to a hostile educational environment, CCSD has violated his rights under § 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 794, and the regulations promulgated thereunder.
- 94. On information and belief, OLSZEWSKI engaged in physical, emotional, and verbal abuse as herein alleged, and said Defendants were deliberately indifferent to the risk that their actions would deprive Plaintiff of equal and meaningful access to education.

- 95. On information and belief, CCSD is vicariously liable for the actions or inactions of its employees. HALLAND and other CCSD administrators and employees were deliberately indifferent to the reported abuse committed by OLSZEWSKI. They had actual knowledge of the ongoing abuse and knew that OLSZEWSKI was likely to continue abusing students including Plaintiff, but failed to act upon that knowledge.
- 96. On information and belief, this deliberate indifference by employees of CCSD gives rise to respondent superior liability of CCSD.
- 97. As a direct and proximate result of CCSD's failure to comply with their duty under § 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 794 and the regulations promulgated thereunder, Plaintiff suffered damages as described herein.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Battery Plaintiff vs. Defendants OLSZEWSKI and CCSD

- 98. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges by reference all the foregoing paragraphs, as if they were fully set forth herein.
- 99. On information and belief, the use of force employed by OLSZEWSKI against Plaintiff as alleged herein was unnecessary to carry out any reasonable purpose and/or exceeded the force reasonably necessary under the circumstances.
- 100. On information and belief, the use of force, as alleged herein, by OLSZEWSKI against Plaintiff constituted a battery.
- 101. On information and belief, the actions of OLSZEWSKI as alleged herein all occurred in or around the classroom and on the public school campus of STES, to which OLSZEWSKI was assigned by CCSD to work, and during regular workday hours while charged with the care and supervision of Plaintiff.
 - 102. On information and belief, the actions of OLSZEWSKI as alleged

herein were committed in the course and scope of the tasks assigned to her by CCSD.

- 103. On information and belief, all of the actions of OLSZEWSKI as alleged herein were reasonably foreseeable to CCSD considering the nature and scope of her employment with CCSD, in that it was foreseeable that in the course and scope of carrying out her duties OLSZEWSKI would have to exercise control over Plaintiff and other students in the class.
- 104. On information and belief, the actions of OLSZEWSKI as alleged herein were also reasonably foreseeable to CCSD because OLSZEWSKI was permitted to continue in her duties after her propensity for committing such acts of battery became known to her supervisors at CCSD.
- 105. CCSD is vicariously liable for OLSZEWSKI's acts of battery pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. §41.745(1).
- 106. On information and belief, as a proximate result of OLSZEWSKI's battery, Plaintiff has suffered damages as alleged herein.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Motivated by Characteristics of Victim, NRS

Criminal Violations Motivated by Characteristics of Victim, NRS 41.690 Plaintiff vs. Defendant OLSZEWSKI

- 107. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges by reference all the foregoing paragraphs, as if they were fully set forth herein.
- 108. On information and belief, the use of force, as alleged herein, by OLSZEWSKI against Plaintiff constituted willful violations of NRS §§ 200.400 (battery) and 200.508 (abuse/neglect).
- 109. On information and belief, OLSZEWSKI's willful violations of these provisions as they relate to Plaintiff were motivated by the actual or perceived disabilities of said Plaintiff.
 - 110. As a direct and proximate result, Plaintiff has suffered damages as

alleged herein.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Plaintiff vs. Defendants OLSZEWSKI, HALLAND and CCSD

- 111. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges by reference all the foregoing paragraphs, as if they were fully set forth herein.
- and psychological abuse as alleged herein, OLSZEWSKI engaged in extreme and outrageous conduct beyond the bounds tolerated in a decent society. In particular, OLSZEWSKI was the adult and the teacher of Plaintiff, who was a three year old pre-kindergarten student with disabilities who was unable to verbally communicate. As a result, OLSZEWSKI was in a position of authority. Plaintiff was particularly vulnerable because of his young age, disabilities and his inability to functionally communicate to his parents what he was being subjected to at school. OLSZEWSKI knew Plaintiff was particularly vulnerable and knew her abuse of Plaintiff would likely result in harm due to his disabilities.
- 113. On information and belief, in committing the violent acts alleged herein, OLSZEWSKI acted with the intent to cause Plaintiff extreme emotional distress, or at a minimum, acted with a reckless disregard as to whether such actions would cause such extreme emotional distress.
- administrators and employees received reports that disabled students, including Plaintiff, were being abused. HALLAND had actual knowledge of violent acts committed against Plaintiff by OLSZEWSKI, but failed to document, investigate, report to the DOE, or establish a CAP to retrain or to discipline OLSZEWSKI to ensure future violations would not reoccur and actively concealed the abuse from the both the DOE and Plaintiff's parents. Such misconduct by school district administrators charged with the care of disabled students is outrageous.

- 23 -

COMPLAINT Case No.

- On information and belief, HALLAND and other CCSD administrators 115. and employees took advantage of Plaintiff's disabilities by attempting to cover up the reported abuse, knowing full well Plaintiff would be unable to verbally communicate to his parents that he was routinely being subjected to physical, verbal and psychological abuse by his teacher. Because Defendants concealed the abuse from Plaintiff's parents, they were unable to timely and appropriately respond to the abuse of Plaintiff at the time he was suffering the abuse.
- 116. Defendants' conduct in this regard was outrageous and Defendants acted either with the intent to inflict emotional distress or, at a minimum, acted with a reckless disregard as to whether such actions would cause such extreme emotional distress.
- CCSD is liable for injuries proximately caused by the acts or omissions of its employees acting within the scope of their employments. See NRS 41.031, NRS 41.038.
- As a direct and proximate result of each Defendants' intentional acts, 118. Plaintiff has incurred damages as alleged herein.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Negligence Plaintiff vs.

Defendants OLSZEWSKI, HALLAND and CCSD

- Plaintiff incorporates and realleges by reference all the foregoing 119. paragraphs, as if they were fully set forth herein.
- 120. Defendants, and each of them, owed a duty to exercise reasonable care in their interactions with Plaintiff.
- A special relationship existed between each of Defendants and Plaintiff, which arose from the mandatory character of school attendance and the comprehensive control over students exercised by school personnel. CCSD owed a duty to exercise reasonable care to prevent harm to Plaintiff at the hands of

anyone, including OLSZEWSKI, negligently or intentionally.

- 122. Furthermore, on information and belief, upon learning that OLSZEWSKI had been suspected of using excessive force and physically mistreating disabled students, the duty to exercise reasonable care to prevent further harm to Plaintiff included a duty to disclose all of the suspected abuse to Plaintiff's parents.
- 123. Teachers, instructional aides, and administrative officers of CCSD are mandatory reporters as defined by NRS 432B.220. As such, they were under a mandatory duty to report to a law enforcement agency or to an agency which provides child welfare services whenever any of them, in his or her professional or occupational capacity, knew or had reasonable cause to believe that had a child had been the victim of child abuse or neglect. A mandatory reporter is required to report suspected child abuse as soon as reasonably practicable, but not later than 24 hours after the person knows or has reasonable cause to believe that the child has been abused or neglected.
- 124. On information and belief, Defendants, and each of them, breached their duty to exercise reasonable care when interacting with Plaintiff by physically and emotionally abusing them, thereby engaging in child abuse; by failing to prevent harms to said Plaintiff; by failing to train CCSD staff that they are mandatory reporters under state law and/or that they were required to report suspected child abuse immediately to the police as required by Nevada law; and/or by failing to inform Plaintiff's parents of suspected child abuse when Defendants first learned of it.
- 125. On information and belief, Defendants and each of them breached their duty to exercise reasonable care when interacting with Plaintiff by physically and emotionally abusing said them and/or by failing to prevent the use of a prohibited "Aversive Intervention" as defined in NRS 388.473, including but not

limited to the deprivation of necessities needed to sustain the health of Plaintiff.

Defendants OLSZEWSKI's abuse of Plaintiff was known or should have been known to HALLAND and other CCSD administrators and employees.

126. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff has suffered damages as alleged herein.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION Negligent Supervision Plaintiff vs. Defendants HALLAND and CCSD

- 127. Plaintiff incorporates and reallege by reference all the foregoing paragraphs, as if they were fully set forth herein.
- 128. As school personnel, HALLAND and/or other CCSD supervisory employees owed students under their supervision, including Plaintiff, a protective duty of care, which includes overseeing the educational environment and the performance of OLSZEWSKI and taking reasonable measures to guard Plaintiff against abuse from foreseeable sources, including OLSZEWSKI.
- 129. On information and belief, OLSZEWSKI was unfit to perform the work for which she was hired to do.
- 130. On information and belief, HALLAND and/or other CCSD supervisory employees knew or should have known that OLSZEWSKI was abusing students at STES either by personally observing the abuse, by reports received from other school employees and/or by providing reasonable supervision of its students and/or its employees.
- 131. On information and belief, HALLAND and/or other CCSD supervisory employees' negligence in supervising and retaining OLSZEWSKI was a substantial factor in causing harm to Plaintiff.
- 132. CCSD is liable for injuries proximately caused by the acts or omissions of its employees acting within the scope of their employment. See NRS 41.031, NRS

13

12

1415

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

41.038.

133. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligent supervision of OLSZEWSKI, Plaintiff has incurred damages as alleged herein.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Enhanced Damages for Injury or Loss Suffered by a Vulnerable Person, NRS 41.1395 Plaintiff vs. Defendants OLSZEWSKI

- 134. Plaintiff incorporates and reallege by reference all the foregoing paragraphs, as if they were fully set forth herein.
- 135. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was a vulnerable person as that term is defined by NRS 41.1395.
- 136. In committing the violent acts alleged herein, OLSZEWSKI acted with recklessness, oppression, fraud and/or malice as that term is defined by NRS 41.1395.
- 137. Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to double damages and attorneys' fees and costs against OLSZEWSKI under NRS 41.1395.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands that this matter be tried by a jury.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. Compensatory damages to Plaintiff for pain, suffering, injury, emotional distress and for medical expenses, past and future;
- 2. Punitive damages against Defendants OLSZEWSKI and HALLAND as authorized under NRS 41.690;
- 3. Double Damages against each Defendant causing Plaintiff's harm under NRS 41.1395;
- 4. Attorneys' fees and costs as authorized under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 42 U.S.C. § 1210, 29 U.S.C. § 794, and NRS 41.690 and 41.1395;

1	5. Prejudgment interest and post judgment interest as allowed by law; and	
2	6. Such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.	
3		
4	Dated: February 9, 2022 PANISH SHEA & BOYLE LLP	
5	/s/ Rahul Ravipudi By:	
6	RAHUL RAVIPUDI	
7	IAN SAMSON ADAM ELLIS	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9	To be admitted pro hac vice: KHALDOUN A. BAGHDADI, SBN 190111	
10	VALERIE N. ROSE, SBN 272566 WALKUP, MELODIA, KELLY & SCHOENBERGER	
11	650 California Street, 26 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108 Tel: (415) 981-7210	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

COMPLAINT Case No.

- 28 -